

Comments Re: BCRUA Traffic Study June 10

1. Lime Creek is going to have the problems. A bad road for anyone but especially big heavy trucks. Our residents use that road also. Perhaps a letter to County from Volente listing concerns about traffic monitoring on it.
2. The study was done in the Summer. What about when school is in session?
3. The decision to select Jackson St over Sherman makes no sense.
 - a. Its more expensive
 - b. Cuts down more habitat
 - c. Disturbs neighbors more during road building
 - d. Jackson st is a less clear road; if you go down Sherman you can see everything. Jackson has more potential for a person, dog getting hit.
 - e. Say it's a benefit to have another road for safety? Whose? Two residents who live on other side.
 - f. There is still a major turn trucks will have to take from a Jackson rd into the Maintenance Building Rd.
 - g. Need more info on what kind of road, why so large.
4. Need for clarification on amount of time to build Maintenance Building. Will trucks have to be on road and

working late at night and on Sunday? This is a residential neighborhood.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. What is speed limit on Jackson/Sherman, 30? We need a speed limit sign or at least one of those traffic signs that says "your speed is". I have seen numerous truck/workers going down Jackson at 50 . It is easy to do,
2. Volente needs to send letter to County alerting them to this project, or at least coordinating with them
3. The neighbors need a person to contact when there are repairs needed to Jackson, Sherman or Lime Creek because of this project that can not wait for the duration of project to be completed.
4. How about using Jackson in one way, and Sherman out the other? Less stress on one.

Judy and John Schlotzhauer

1. Review of general concerns
 - a. Committee would like to have a documented plan for activities and operations at the Jackson Street site during construction and during operations. A timeline for this site would be very helpful.
 - b. A forecast for truck traffic at this site during construction and during operation is requested. We assume that construction traffic will be much like a small home being built in the Village. During operation we would like to know the kind of truck, the frequency of coming on site, what would be carried in the truck. Frank Wolfe has the beginnings of this documentation but it needs to be more complete.
 - c. Committee is very concerned about potential impact on water wells in the Village.
 - d. Committee agreed to be focused on the ILA and not to get involved in the issues of P&Z and the Site Plan permit application.
2. Review of Nov. 22nd ILA
 - a. Article VI – Use, Maintenance and Repair of Roadways
 - i. Sections 6.01 and 02 should be reworded to correct syntax errors.
 - ii. Section 6.04 – be more specific about reinforcing Lime Creek Road. The issue is the hair pin turns.
 - iii. Section 6.05 – 60 days may be way too short a window, and we think it far too presumptuous to ask for “resurface all remaining Village of Volente roads”.
 - b. Article VII – Traffic and Construction
 - i. Feel that the hours of operation are too strict
 - ii. Sections 7.04 and 05 feel very onerous and should be lightened.
 - iii. Section 7.08 is felt to be very important and is supported.
 - iv. Section 7.09 – remove “or via barge”
 - c. Article VIII – Safety and Reporting
 - i. Section 8.07 – quarterly inspections are far too many. Annual is better
 - ii. Section 8.08 – is apparently already in the plan.
 - d. Article IX – Monitoring Wells and Protection of Private Wells
 - i. This section is extremely important to those living around or over the planned tunnel. The BCRUA has always planned to have in place a water table monitoring system. It was the reason for the request to drill the four monitoring holes along Lime Creek Road. We would like to see that documented plan.
 - ii. This article should contain the documented plan for addressing any private wells that “go bad” during construction and afterward, and should have all committed mitigations to the property owners.
 - iii. Possibly ask BCRUA to support an engineering analysis and cost estimate of what it would take to install a fresh water distribution system within the Village – something to use for future planning.
 - e. Article X – Volente’s Access to Water
 1. Section 10.01 should have “access to” clean water
 - i. Section 10.04 should be removed. If the BCRUA abandons this project, they should return everything to pristine condition. The Village should NEVER consider taking over any part or portion of this system as the potential liability would be enormous, and the Village has no business trying to set up a water processing facility.
 - f. Article XI – Compensation and Reimbursement for Professional Services

- i. Section 11.01 – we feel that the monies being requested are so far out of line as to render this ILA ineffective and insulting to the recipients. Any and all compensation pursued should be related to water issues – suggestion would be a rain water collection grant program.
 - ii. Section 11.02 – remove the words “all past,” from the sentence. VOV has known for years that we could only begin to request reimbursement once the ILA was signed.
 - iii. Section 11.03 – monies requested should tie in to how much tax money is lost due to change of property to governmental-non taxed.
- g. Article XII – Insurance and Liability
 - i. The committee was supportive of this request and felt that it would be best left to Alan B. or Raphael to validate the kinds and amounts.